

## 2022 Maine STEM Film Challenge Rubric

Team: \_\_\_\_\_

Group/school: \_\_\_\_\_

Category: Elem Mid HS Coll

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

**CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE EVALUATION CRITERIA THAT WAS MET**

	<b>1: Did not meet minimum requirements</b>	<b>2: Met some but not all of the requirements</b>	<b>3: Satisfactory</b>	<b>4: Exceeded requirements</b>	<b>5: Excelled</b>
<b>Content</b>	Film was not based on a STEM Field or if based on a STEM field lacked any credible facts. Content stream was not in a coherent pattern.	The topic was appropriate, but the film lacked sufficient facts or details to impart the audience with an understanding of the topic. Content stream was partially in a coherent pattern.	Film was on a suitable topic and included a number of factual details and in a flow that allowed at least a cursory understanding of the topic from watching the film. Content stream was in a coherent pattern from start to finish	Film was on a suitable topic and included numerous and accurate facts. Content stream was in a coherent pattern from start to finish.	Film was on a suitable topic and full of accurate facts presented in a manner that allowed the audience to fully understand the content the filmmakers were trying to present. Content stream was in a coherent pattern from start to finish.
<b>Artistic Delivery</b>	The film was difficult to watch due to a large number of blocky, poor quality or otherwise unimpressive components.	The film was watchable, but a number of flow, color, audio issues resulted in less than suitable presentation of the material.	The film was pleasant to watch and transitions from topic points was done in a manner to support the topic, not detract from it.	The film exceeded the requirements but a few flaws or imperfections were present. Transitions or audio may have been slightly off but not so much to detract from the overall presentation	The film was amazing and highlighted a great deal of skill in coordinating both the video and display components with the audio and music components. Colors and lighting supported each other. No obvious flaws in the delivery.
<b>Technical</b>	Titles were lacking or exceeded the allowable time. Credits were lacking or exceeded the allowable time. Citations were lacking. Film was less than 3 minutes or longer than 10 minutes.				Fully met the technical requirements for this section. Titles were accurate, clear, and within the time frame. Source citations and all other credits were clear and well presented at the end or during the presentation.
<b>Accessible</b>	No effort to address accessibility in any form.		Film included some diversity of people and addressed at least one modality to reach people across abilities, genders, or backgrounds.		Film included people of all types and was presented with an outstanding effort to reach additional audiences through subtitles or other means to break communication barriers.

## 2022 Maine STEM Film Challenge Rubric

Team : \_\_\_\_\_

Group/school: \_\_\_\_\_

Category: Elem Mid HS Coll

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** (things that were lacking and things that were good)

### Score:

Content Score: \_\_\_\_\_ x 8 = \_\_\_\_\_

Artistic Delivery Score: \_\_\_\_\_ x 8 = \_\_\_\_\_

Technical Score: \_\_\_\_\_ x 2 = \_\_\_\_\_

Accessible Score: \_\_\_\_\_ x 2 = \_\_\_\_\_

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_